

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568)
 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800
 Las Vegas, NV 89101
 TELEPHONE: (702) 382-7300
 FACSIMILE: (702) 382-2755
 rpocker@bsfllp.com

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (*pro hac vice*)
 FRED NORTON (*pro hac vice*)
 KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (*pro hac vice*)
 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900
 Oakland, CA 94612
 TELEPHONE: (510) 874-1000
 FACSIMILE: (510) 874-1460
 sholtzman@bsfllp.com
 fnorton@bsfllp.com
 kringgenberg@bsfllp.com

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
 GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (*pro hac vice*)
 BREE HANN (*pro hac vice*)
 THOMAS S. HIXSON (*pro hac vice*)
 KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (*pro hac vice*)
 THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067
 Telephone: 415.393.2000
 Facsimile: 415.393.2286
 geoff.howard@bingham.com
 thomas.hixson@bingham.com
 kristen.palumbo@bingham.com

DORIAN DALEY (*pro hac vice*)
 DEBORAH K. MILLER (*pro hac vice*)
 JAMES C. MAROULIS (*pro hac vice*)
 ORACLE CORPORATION
 500 Oracle Parkway
 M/S 5op7
 Redwood City, CA 94070
 Telephone: 650.506.4846
 Facsimile: 650.506.7114
 dorian.daley@oracle.com
 deborah.miller@oracle.com
 jim.maroulis@oracle.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and
 Oracle International Corp.

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
 B. Trent Webb (*pro hac vice*)
 Eric Buresh (*pro hac vice*)
 2555 Grand Boulevard
 Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613
 Telephone: (816) 474-6550
 Facsimile: (816) 421-5547
 bwebb@shb.com
 eburesh@shb.com

Robert H. Reckers (*pro hac vice*)
 600 Travis Street, Suite 1600
 Houston, Texas 77002
 Telephone: (713) 227-8008
 Facsimile: (713) 227-9508
 rreckers@shb.com

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
 W. West Allen (Nevada Bar No. 5566)
 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
 Tel: (702) 949-8200
 Fax: (702) 949-8398
 Wallen@LRLaw.com

GREENBERG TRAURIG
 Mark G. Tratos (Nevada Bar No. 1086)
 Brandon Roos (Nevada Bar No. 7888)
 Leslie Godfrey (Nevada Bar No. 10229)
 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
 Suite 400 North
 Las Vegas, NV 89169
 Telephone: (702) 792-3773
 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
 tratosm@gtlaw.com
 roosb@gtlaw.com
 godfrey1@gtlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants Rimini Street,
 Inc., and Seth Ravin

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;
ORACLE AMERICA, INC., A Delaware
corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;
AND SETH RAVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL

**JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE STATEMENT AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER TO VACATE
AUGUST 5, 2011 CMC AND TO
MODIFY THE CASE SCHEDULE**

Date: August 5, 2011
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Place: Courtroom 3B
Judge: Magistrate Peggy A. Leen

Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International Corp. (collectively, “Oracle” or “Plaintiffs”) and Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. (“Rimini Street”) and Seth Ravin (“Ravin”) (together, “Rimini” or “Defendants”) jointly submit this Case Management Conference Statement and Proposed Order in advance of the August 5, 2011 Case Management Conference (“CMC”) to provide the Court with a status report of the pending matters.

Part I addresses the current status of the pleadings. Part II provides a status report on the party and non-party discovery to date. Part III sets forth the Parties’ joint request for a two-month extension of the fact discovery deadline, and related extensions for other case deadlines, and for the August 5 CMC to be vacated and rescheduled for six weeks from now.

I. STATE OF THE PLEADINGS

Defendants stipulated to the filing of Oracle’s Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”), and Oracle filed the SAC on June 1, 2011. Judge Hicks approved the Parties’ stipulation regarding the filing of the SAC on June 7. Rimini filed its answer on June 16.

Also on June 1, the Parties filed a stipulation regarding the scope and licensing of Oracle’s derivative-work registrations. Judge Leen approved this stipulation on June 2.

II. DISCOVERY PROGRESS

Since the last CMC on May 17, 2011, the Parties have made the following progress in

1 discovery:

2 **A. Discovery Sought From and Produced By Plaintiffs.**

3 **1. Documents**

4 Rimini has served no additional Requests for Production. Between May 17, 2011 and the
5 submission of this statement, Oracle has produced more than 89,581 additional documents,
6 totaling more than 300,000 pages, including thousands of voluminous Excel files, customer
7 contracts and related documentation, price lists, customer-specific reports (referred to as oki3
8 reports), software and support materials, copyright registrations, deposit materials, and terms of
9 use and e-delivery licenses.

10 **a. Custodial Productions**

11 By August 5, Oracle will have completed document productions from 21 out of 55 Oracle
12 custodians, and it continues to review documents of the agreed production custodians (including
13 server emails, laptop/desktop images, and documents from network share files). Oracle expects
14 to complete production for all of its currently scheduled 55 custodians by August 5, excluding
15 potentially privileged documents. Oracle expects to complete the review and production of all
16 potentially privileged documents at some point in August.

17 **b. Non-Custodial Productions**

18 Oracle continues to gather and review non-custodial documents for production, including
19 customer contract documents, copyright registrations, deposit materials, and software.
20 Currently, Oracle has produced approximately 75% of the requested customer contract
21 documents. Oracle expects to complete its production of customer contract documents by the
22 end of August.

23 Oracle expects to make a further production of copyright registrations, deposit materials,
24 and software in the first week of August. At that point, Oracle will have produced approximately
25 75% of the requested copyright registrations, deposit materials, and software. Oracle expects to
26 make another substantial production of these materials by the end of August. At that point,
27 Oracle expects its production of copyright registrations, deposit materials, and software will be
28 complete except for specific registration and deposit materials on file with the Copyright Office

1 that have been requested on an expedited basis and that are related to allegations added in the
2 Second Amended Complaint.

3 **2. Interrogatories**

4 On May 16, 2011, Rimini served Oracle with its Third Set of Interrogatories, which
5 consisted of Interrogatory No. 15. Oracle responded to this Interrogatory on July 1. Oracle also
6 supplemented its responses to Rimini's Second Set of Interrogatories, numbers 13 and 14, on
7 July 1.

8 **3. Requests for Admissions**

9 On June 7, 2011, Rimini served Oracle with its First Set of Requests for Admissions,
10 numbers 1 and 2. Oracle responded to these Requests on July 22.

11 **4. Depositions**

12 Rimini took depositions on May 26, June 17, July 13, and July 20, totaling four
13 depositions since the last CMC. Rimini has also noticed three additional depositions of Oracle
14 employees for August 4, August 24, and the third on a date to be determined.

15 **B. Documents Sought From and Produced By Defendants.**

16 **1. Documents**

17 On June 23, 2011, Oracle served Defendant Ravin with its Second Set of Requests for
18 Production for Ravin, numbered 2 through 4. On that same date, Oracle served Rimini with its
19 Fourth Set of Requests for Production, numbered 58 through 60. On July 26, 2011, Ravin and
20 Rimini served their responses to these Requests for Production. On June 30, Oracle served
21 Rimini with its Fifth Set of Requests for Production, numbered 61 through 65.

22 Between May 17, 2011 and the submission of this statement, Rimini has produced
23 approximately 190,946 additional documents, totaling approximately 1,600,000 pages. These
24 materials include numerous log files, archive materials, and over 3,500 native files. To date,
25 Rimini has produced over 460,000 documents totaling over 4,250,000 pages, as well as over
26 8,100 native files, numerous environments, ticketing system data, data archives, source code, log
27 files, and network shares.

28 **a. Custodial Productions**

1 By August 5, Rimini will have completed document productions for 37 out of the 55
2 Rimini custodians. Rimini expects to have an additional 14 custodians complete by August 15,
3 with the productions for the remaining 4 custodians following shortly thereafter.

4 **b. Non-Custodial Productions**

5 Rimini continues to gather and review non-custodial documents for production, including
6 materials from various department shares and non-custodial email files. Generally speaking,
7 these sources include data relating to financials, client relationships, marketing, and sales.
8 Rimini expects that it will have its pending non-custodial productions fully completed by the end
9 of August.

10 **2. Interrogatories**

11 On May 25, 2011, Oracle served Rimini with its Fifth Set of Interrogatories, numbered
12 20 through 25. Rimini responded to that set on July 11. Rimini also supplemented its response
13 to Interrogatory 16 on May 18. On June 6, Rimini responded to Interrogatory No. 19 and
14 supplemented its response to Interrogatory No. 3. On July 27, 2011, Oracle served Rimini with
15 its Sixth Set of Interrogatories, numbered 26.

16 **3. Depositions**

17 Oracle took depositions on June 8, June 24, and July 21, totaling three depositions since
18 the last CMC. Oracle noticed a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition for August 10. Oracle has noticed
19 three other depositions, one scheduled for August 9, another scheduled for September 29, and a
20 30(b)(6) deposition on a date yet to be determined. In addition, Oracle issued a deposition
21 subpoena for a Rimini Street former employee, and that deposition is scheduled for August 30.
22 Oracle also sent a letter to Rimini on July 14 to schedule five additional depositions, and asking
23 Rimini to prioritize the production of the documents for 28 of the Rimini custodians whose
24 productions are not complete and who likely have documents pertinent to the five additional
25 depositions. Rimini has been working to prioritize and complete the requested productions.
26 Assuming all these depositions go forward, that will be 17 depositions total.

C. Third Party Discovery

1. Customers

Since the last CMC, Oracle has served two additional subpoenas on Rimini customers for a total of 254 customer subpoenas. Oracle has received approximately 219 document productions in response to these subpoenas. Oracle continues to seek the cooperation of subpoenaed customers with outstanding or deficient productions.

Oracle's effort to process and produce customer productions to Rimini is ongoing. Oracle has sent approximately 141 customer productions to Rimini and received approximately 18 third-party productions from Rimini. In furtherance of this effort, the Parties agreed on June 17 to modify the confidential designation procedure for third-party productions. The Parties agreed to exchange copies of yet un-exchanged third-party productions as soon as reasonably practicable with all documents provisionally designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only. The Parties will re-designate or de-designate these documents as necessary within a reasonable time after their exchange or within ten days of the other Party's request for re-designation of a reasonable set of documents.

At the last CMC, the Court ordered that Oracle may take up to 20 customer depositions limited to two hours in duration. While receipt and review of Rimini's customer-related and actual customer produced documents has been slower than expected, Oracle expects to serve 10 notices of customer depositions in August. Oracle will timely notice the remainder of its allotted customer depositions as it continues to review Defendants' and customers' ongoing document productions.

2. Public Entities

Oracle has made state "sunshine act" requests of 46 public entities that may have had significant contact with Rimini. Two additional entities have responded with a substantive production since the last CMC, which brings the total responses to 43 entities. Oracle's effort to process and produce public entity productions to Rimini is ongoing. Oracle has sent approximately 33 public entity productions to Rimini. The Parties' review of the sunshine act materials is ongoing.

3. Other Third Parties

Since the last CMC, Oracle has continued to negotiate with respect to subpoenas served on other third parties, including third-party support provider CedarCrestone. After weeks of meeting and conferring, Oracle moved to compel CedarCrestone's production on July 1. The Parties and CedarCrestone subsequently entered into a stipulation in which CedarCrestone agreed to produce documents responsive to Oracle's and Rimini's subpoenas. Accordingly, Oracle has withdrawn its motion, reserving its rights. CedarCrestone has recently produced responsive documents. The Parties' review of CedarCrestone's documents is ongoing and Oracle expects to notice a deposition of CedarCrestone shortly (bringing the anticipated total non-customer depositions to 18).

Since the last CMC, Oracle has served a subpoena on Rimini Street consultant Mr. Nhat Vuong. Vuong has not produced any documents to date, and Oracle will meet and confer with him regarding compliance with the subpoena. Oracle has also issued a document subpoena to a former Rimini Street employee (who is also subject to a deposition subpoena, as discussed above).

Oracle has also reviewed the production it received from Rimini Street investor Adams Street Partners. On June 29, Oracle sent Adams Street Partners a letter identifying deficiencies in their production. Adams Street Partners acknowledged receipt of the letter, and Oracle awaits a further response.

Since the last CMC, Netcustomer and Summit Technology have produced documents in response to Oracle's subpoenas. Oracle has continued to negotiate with Spinnaker Support regarding compliance with Oracle's subpoena.

III. JOINT REQUEST FOR A TWO-MONTH EXTENSION OF THE FACT DISCOVERY CUT OFF AND RELATED EXTENIONS FOR OTHER CASE DEADLINES

The Parties have been working diligently to complete document productions and conduct depositions. However, as noted above, custodial document productions are now scheduled to be completed in August. In addition, the non-custodial productions described above are expected to be completed in August. This has affected the scheduling of depositions, since the parties have

endeavored to complete custodial productions for a witness in advance of the witness's deposition. For some witnesses, it is necessary to complete custodial productions for related employees as well before the deposition, and certain depositions require the use of non-custodial documents too.

In addition, the Parties continue to meet and confer regarding possible stipulations for the purpose of clarifying and narrowing the set of disputed issues to be presented at trial. The Parties' most recent efforts have focused on two possible stipulations: an extrapolation stipulation (that Oracle proposed on June 10 and to which Defendants responded on July 1) relating to a streamlined procedure for litigation of certain claims and defenses relating to environment copies of Oracle enterprise software and to fixes for PeopleSoft software, and a copyright stipulation (that Oracle proposed on July 1 and to which Defendants responded on July 28) relating to Oracle's registered copyrights. The Parties intend to exchange additional drafts of both stipulations in the weeks to come. Though there are some significant disagreements between the Parties, the Parties are in agreement that the potential benefits that may arise from the stipulations currently under discussion merit continued, good-faith efforts to explore opportunities for agreements and compromises where possible.

In light of the pace of document production and the Parties' continuing efforts on the potential stipulations the Parties request a two-month extension of the fact discovery deadline.¹ Currently, the fact discovery cutoff is October 3, and the Parties request an extension to Monday, December 5.² This requested extension is based on the Parties' representations to each other that

¹ The Parties are optimistic that further extensions of the fact discovery deadline will not be necessary and have agreed that a Party will not unilaterally seek any further extension of the fact discovery cut off, provided that the other Party substantially completed its production of presently agreed-upon custodial and non-custodial materials by August 31. A production will be considered substantially completed notwithstanding productions after August 31 that either correct processing or formatting issues with the original production or provide materials not subject to a present agreement regarding production.

² To facilitate the completion of fact discovery by December 5, the Parties have agreed that the last day to serve requests for production and interrogatories will be October 3, 2011. The Parties have further agreed that, for deposition notices served 4 weeks in advance of the discovery cut-off, the noticed witnesses will be provided even if scheduling requires the deposition to take place after the discovery cut-off.

the custodial and non-custodial document productions presently agreed upon will be substantially completed by the end of August.³

This extension would make initial expert disclosures due on January 3, 2012. Given the holidays, the Parties request that this deadline be extended to January 17, 2012. If the Court grants this request, then it would make sense to move back the remaining case deadlines (e.g., the deadline for rebuttal expert reports, the deadline to file a dispositive motion, and so on) by the same two and a half month period. As the case progresses, the Parties may wish to discuss with each other and with the Court whether further changes to the expert-related deadlines may be appropriate.

The Parties therefore propose the following case schedule:

	Current Schedule	Proposal
Last date to complete fact discovery	October 3, 2011	December 5, 2011
Last date to file motions to compel related to fact discovery	October 17, 2011	December 19, 2011
Last date to disclose experts on issues for which a party has the burden of proof, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	November 1, 2011	January 17, 2012
Last date to disclose rebuttal experts	December 15, 2011	March 2, 2012
Last date to complete expert discovery	February 1, 2012	April 16, 2012
Last date to file dispositive motions	March 15, 2012	June 1, 2012
Last date to file joint pretrial order	April 16, 2012	July 2, 2012

If the Court grants this proposed schedule change, then the Parties request that the Court vacate the August 5 CMC and reschedule it for six weeks from now.

³ Similarly, the Parties request a two-month extension on the deadline to move to compel, since that deadline should be tied to the close of fact discovery.

DATED: August 2, 2011

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP

By: /S/ Geoffrey M. Howard

By: /S/ Robert H. Reckers

Geoffrey M. Howard (*pro hac vice*)
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
Telephone: 415.393.2000
Facsimile: 415.393.2286
geoff.howard@bingham.com

Robert H. Reckers (*pro hac vice*)
600 Travis Street, Suite 1600
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 227-8008
Facsimile: (731) 227-9508
rreckers@shb.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Attorneys for Defendants

Pursuant to stipulation, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- The Court modifies the case schedule order to adopt the following deadlines:

Event	Deadline
Last date to complete fact discovery	December 5, 2011
Last date to file motions to compel related to fact discovery	December 19, 2011
Last date to disclose experts on issues for which a party has the burden of proof, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	January 17, 2011
Last date to disclose rebuttal experts	March 2, 2012
Last date to complete expert discovery	April 16, 2012
Last date to file dispositive motions	June 1, 2012
Last date to file joint pretrial order	July 2, 2012

1 2. The August 5 CMC is vacated and rescheduled for September 20, 2011 at 9 a.m. in
2 Courtroom 3B.

3
4 

5 Hon. Peggy A. Leen
6 United States Magistrate Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION OF FILER

The signatories to this document are myself and Robert Reckers and I have obtained Mr. Reckers's concurrence to file this document on his behalf.

DATED: August 2, 2011

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

By: /S/ Geoffrey M. Howard

Geoffrey M. Howard (*pro hac vice*)

Three Embarcadero Center

San Francisco, CA 94111-4067

Telephone: 415.393.2000

Facsimile: 415.393.2286

geoff.howard@bingham.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over eighteen years of age, not a party in this action, and employed in San Francisco County, California at Three Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California 94111-4067. I am readily familiar with the practice of this office for collection and processing of correspondence for email delivery.

Today I caused to be served the following document:

**JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER TO VACATE AUGUST 5, 2011 CMC AND TO
MODIFY THE CASE SCHEDULE**



(BY ELECTRONIC MAIL) by transmitting via electronic mail document(s) in portable document format (PDF) listed below to the email address set forth below on this date.

B. Trent Webb, Esq.
Eric Buresh, Esq.
David J. Niegowski, Esq.
Ryan Dykal, Esq.
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
2555 Grand Blvd.
Kansas City, Missouri 64108
Telephone: (816) 474-6550
Facsimile: (816) 421-5547

bwebb@shb.com
eburesh@shb.com
dniegowski@shb.com
rdykal@shb.com

Robert H. Reckers, Esq.
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
600 Travis Street, Suite 1600
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 227-8008
Facsimile: (713) 227-9508
rreckers@shb.com

Mark G. Tratos, Esq.
Brandon Roos, Esq.
Leslie Godfrey, Esq.
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy
Ste 400 North
Las Vegas, NY 89169
Telephone: (702) 792-3773
Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
tratosm@gtlaw.com
roosb@gtlaw.com
godfrey1@gtlaw.com

W. West Allen, Esq.
LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Telephone: (702) 949-8200
Facsimile: (702) 949-8398
Wallen@LRLaw.com

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made and that this declaration was executed on August 2, 2011 at San Francisco, California.

/S/Kelley A. Garcia

Kelley A. Garcia